SUCCESSFUL APPLICATION OF α -HALOALLYLLITHIUM FOR A SIMPLE, CONVENIENT PREPARATION OF α -HALOALLYLBORONATE ESTER

Herbert C. Brown^{*} and Milind V. Rangaishenvi H. C. Brown and R. B. Wetherill Laboratories of Chemistry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

Summary: The first successful application of α -haloallyllithium, LiCH(X)CH=CH₂, conveniently generated *in situ* from allyl halide and LDA in THF at -78 °C, for reaction with triisopropyl borate to produce the valuable intermediate, α -haloallylboronate ester, is described.

Halogen-substituted carbanions have received considerable attention over the last three decades, especially for evaluating their synthetic potential and their reactivity towards electrophiles.¹ For instance, (dichloromethyl)lithium, LiCHCl₂, and (chloromethyl)lithium, LiCH₂Cl, conveniently generated in the presence of borate esters at -78° C, have been used extensively for the synthesis of the corresponding haloalkylboronate esters.² The simplicity and convenience of this procedure makes it attractive for large scale syntheses.

Halogen-substituted allylic carbanions also constitute an important segment of carbanion chemistry, ³ but unfortunately, have received only limited synthetic attention because of their rapid "self-consumption".⁴ Recently, Macdonald *et al* have successfully demonstrated⁵ a simple and elegant procedure for the *in situ* generation of α haloallyllithium, LiCH(Cl)CH=CH₂, from allyl chloride and LDA in THF at -78 °C, by trapping the intermediate with primary halides, thereby circumventing the problem of "self-consumption". Regretably, the utility of such α haloallyllithium intermediates has not yet been explored for the valuable transfer reactions of organoboranes. In this letter, we wish to report the facile and direct synthesis of the α -haloallylboronate ester utilizing α haloallyllithium, LiCH(Cl)CH=CH₂, with an appropriate borate ester (eq 1).

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}_{Cl} + \text{LDA} + B(\text{O}i\text{-}\text{Pr})_3 & \frac{\text{THF}}{-78^{\circ}} \end{array} & \left[\begin{array}{c} \end{array}_{Cl} B(\text{O}i\text{-}\text{Pr})_3 \end{array} \right]_{Li}^{-1} & \frac{1.\text{HCl} - \text{EE}(2\text{ eq})}{-78^{\circ}} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array}_{Cl} & \begin{array}{c} \end{array}_{Cl} B(\text{O}i\text{-}\text{Pr})_2 & \frac{10(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{OH}}{\text{Cl}} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \end{array}_{Cl} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \end{array}_{Cl} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \end{array}_{Cl} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \end{array}_{Cl} \end{array}$$

Gratifyingly, the reaction of triisopropyl borate with an equiv. of LiCH(Cl)CH=CH₂, generated *in situ*, followed by the treatment with two equiv. of anh. HCl-EE afforded diisopropyl α -chloroallylboronate 1 in 88% yield. Evidently, the labile α -haloallyllithium generated *in situ* in the presence of triisopropyl borate is captured as soon as it is formed, prior to its self-destruction. The following procedure for the preparation of 1 is representative.

To a cooled solution of triisopropyl borate(23.1 ml, 100 mmol), and allyl chloride(8.15 ml, 110 mmol) in freshly distilled anh. THF(100 ml) at -78 °C was added a solution of preformed LDA in THF(200 ml) [prepared by treating diisopropylamine(15.4 ml, 110 mmol) with *n*-BuLi(47.9 ml of 2.3M solution in hexane) in THF] dropwise by means of a cannula over a period of 0.5h. Stirring was continued at -78 °C for an additional 0.5h. To

this solution was added anh. HCl in ether(75.9 ml of 2.9M solution) at -78 °C and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 25 °C. The volatiles were pumped off in vacuo(20 torr) and the residue extracted with npentane (3x100 ml). Separation of the pentane portion by filtration under N₂, followed by distillation under reduced pressure, afforded the desired boronate ester 1: bp 95-96 °C(25 torr), yield(18.0 g, 88%), ¹¹BNMR(CDCl₃) δ 28 ppm; ¹HNMR(CDCl₃) δ 1.20[d, *J*=7Hz, 12H, CH(CH₃)], 4.07(m, 1H, CHCl), 4.67(m, 2H), 4.97-5.40(m, 2H, =CH₂), 5.87-6.33(m, 1H). Transesterification of 1 with trimethylene glycol afforded 2 in almost quantitative yield: bp 110-112 °C(20 torr); ¹¹BNMR(CDCl₃) δ 28.6 ppm; ¹HNMR(CDCl₃) δ 2.03(m. 2H, CH₂), 3.92(m, 2H, CHCl), 4.1(t, J =8Hz, 4H, OCH₂), 5.0-5.4(m, 2H, =CH₂), 5.73-6.36(m, 1H, olefinic). We have also established the successful preparation of 1 at - 5 °C by treatment of allyl chloride or bromide with LDA in the presence of triisopropyl borate.

The carbon skeleton of 2 has been confirmed by the hydride transfer reaction using KIPBH, ^{2e} producing the parent allylboronate ester, 3. Treatment of the intermediate 2 with RLi or RMgX, gives the corresponding product 4. These allylic derivatives undergo the usual allylboration of aldehydes to furnish 5 (eq 2).



It should be pointed out that Matteson *et al* have reported⁶ the preparation of such α -haloallylboronate esters via the homologation of vinylboronate ester with preformed LiCHCl2 at -100 °C. Hoffmann et al have also demonstrated⁷ the preparation of α -haloallyl boronate esters via the reaction of vinyl Grignard with dichloromethylboronate ester. However, this procedure has the disadvantage of being a multi-step process, but has the advantage of making possible the synthesis of diastereometrically pure α -haloallylboronate ester by an appropriate choice of a chiral auxiliary with C₂ symmetry.

The new synthesis of α -haloallylboronate ester 2 is essentially a simple, one-pot, high yield process, especially convenient for large scale synthesis. Some of the potential applications of these versatile intermediates have been developed⁸ in our laboratory and additional exploration of the chemistry is currently underway.⁹

References and Notes

1. (a) G. Kobrich Angew. Chem. Intl. Ed. Engl., 6, 41, 1967. (b) J. C. Stowell"Carbanions in Organic

(a) G. Kobrich Angew. Chem. Intl. Ed. Engl., 6, 41, 1967. (b) J. C. Stowell "Carbanions in Organic Synthesis", John-Wiley, New York (1979).
(a) M. W. Rathke, E. Chao and G. Wu, J. Organometal. Chem., 122, 145, 1976. (b). P. G. M. Wuts and P. A. Thompson, J. Organometal. Chem., 234, 137, 1982. (c) D. S. Matteson and G. D. Hurst, Organometallics, 5, 1465, 1986. (d) K. M. Sadhu and D. S. Matteson, Organometallics, 4, 1687, 1985. (e) H. C. Brown, S. M. Singh and M. V. Rangaishenvi, J. Org. Chem., 51, 3150, 1986.
(a) T. A. Hase "Umpoled Synthons", John-Wiley, New York (1987). (b) D. Seebach and K. H. Geiss in "New Applications of Organometallic Reagents in Organic Synthesis", D. Seyforth (Ed), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1.
(a) E. Wenkert, P. Bakuzis, J. N. Dynak and C. S. Swindell, Synth. Comm., 9, 11, 1979. (b) R. A. Olofson and C. M. Doughert, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 582, 1973..
T. Macdonald B. A. Narayanan and D. E. O'Dell J. Org. Chem. 46, 1504, 1981

6. D. S. Matteson, R. Ray, R. R. Rocks and D. J. Tsai, Organometallics, 2, 1536, 1983.

^{5.} T. L. Macdonald, B. A. Narayanan and D.E. O'Dell, J. Org. Chem., 46, 1504, 1981.

^{7.} R. W. Hoffmann and B. Landmann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 23, 437, 1984.

^{8.} See the following paper in this issue.

^{9.} We wish to thank the National Science Foundation (Grant CHE-8706102) for the financial support of this project.